## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

	§	
ARLYNN CARTER, #119611,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Case No. 6:22-cv-91-JDK-JDL
	§	
LARRY SMITH,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	
	§	

## ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff ArLynn Carter, a Smith County Jail inmate proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition.

On April 22, 2022, Judge Love issued a Report recommending that the Court dismiss Plaintiff's civil rights claims without prejudice for Plainitff's failure to comply with a Court order. Docket No. 9. A copy of this Report was sent to Plaintiff. However, no objections have been received.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc),

superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to

file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore

reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and

reviews the legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S.

918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the

standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the

United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 9) as the findings of this Court. It is

therefore **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for Plaintiff's

failure to comply with an order of the Court.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 24th day of May, 2022.

JEREMY D. KERNODLE

HIMITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE